

Community Consolidated School District 59

Equity Task Force Meeting 2 September 8, 2022





2021-22 Capacity, Official Enrollment, Demographics, and Building Utilizations

The following document contains a series of tables of statistics for current schools and boundaries that are being evaluated, including enrollment, demographic, and utilization statistics. The current student enrollment numbers were derived from the district's 10/01/2021 student database. This document also contains the same set of statistics for two elementary school redistricting options currently being considered, a straight redistricting option that changes school boundaries but does not pair or close schools, and a pairing option. The pairing options closes Ridge and combines the remaining schools into K-2/3-5 pairs. The paired schools are listed adjacently in the tables and are combined with a black outline. Estimated enrollment is calculated using live-attend in the straight redistricting option and live-in in the paring option. There is also a junior high school redistricting option that adjusts the boundaries but does not close any schools. Finally, this document contains a set of maps of current school boundaries and proposed boundaries for each redistricting option.

Glossary of Terms

Capacity - The number of students that can be safely accommodated in each physical school building.

<u>Utilization</u> - The total enrollment divided by the capacity, described as a percentage.

<u>Live In students</u> - Students residing inside of a school attendance boundary, regardless of where they attend school.

<u>Live and Attend students</u> - Students who attend school in the zones in which they reside.

Live Out Attend In students - Students that live outside of their attending school's zone boundary but reside within the school district boundary.

1

Out of District students - Students that live outside of the school district's boundary.

<u>Unmatched students</u> – Students not able to be located by the GIS.

Table Notes

Student counts are based on the 10/01/2021 CCSD 59 Student Database.

Students attending specialty schools are not included in the counts.

In the demographic tables, rounding can lead to total percentages of slightly over or under 100%.





2022-23 Enrollment and Utilization Forecast and 2021-22 to 2031-32 Elementary Utilization Forecast

2	2022-23 Enr	ollm	ent a	and l	Utiliz	zatio	n Foi	recast					2021	1-22 to 20)31-32 Ut	ilization	Forecast				
Elementary School	2021-22 Capacity	KG	01	02	03	04	05	Total Enrolled	Enrolled Utilization		2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32
Devonshire ES	467	55	56	51	50	56	68	336	72%	Enrollment	334	336	327	331	344	354	359	360	355	348	
										Utilization	72%	72%	70%	71%	74%	76%	77%	77%	76%	75%	73%
Brentwood ES	492	71	73	73	66	71	67	421	86%	Enrollment	429	421	423	422	430	430	429		419	412	
										Utilization	87%	86%	86%	86%	87%	87%	87%	87%	86%	84%	83%
Forest View ES	516	45	53	54	42	39	49	282	55%	Enrollment	287	282		268	265	250			223	217	217
										Utilization	56%	55%	52%	52%	51%	48%	46%		43%	42%	42%
Juliette Low ES	688	50	48	48	37	49	36	268	39%	Enrollment	281	268	279	279	293	295	292	286	279	271	263
										Utilization	41%	39%	41%	41%	43%	43%	42%	42%	41%	39%	38%
John Jay ES	423	53	52	50	49	42	44	290	69%	Enrollment Utilization	296	290		277	263	247	235		213	201	187 44%
										Enrollment	70% 276	69% 301	67% 300	65% 295	62% 297	58% 307	56% 320	53% 307	50% 322	48% 332	
Robert Frost ES	497	75	45	39	49	50	43	301	61%	Utilization	56%	61%		59%	60%	62%	64%		64%		68%
										Enrollment	250	255		263	268	269	269		269	269	
Ridge ES	422	46	45	43	40	41	40	255	60%	Utilization	59%	60%	61%	62%	64%	64%			64%		64%
										Enrollment	320	320		326	336	339	343		340	332	
Rupley ES	540	54	50	56	50	56	54	320	59%	Utilization	59%	59%	60%		62%	63%			63%		61%
6 1: 6 1 56	(70)		7.4		<u> </u>	70	(2)	44.5	(20)	Enrollment	423	415		391	380	377	370		373	383	
Salt Creek ES	673	66	74	68	67	78	62	415	62%	Utilization	63%	62%	62%	58%	56%	56%	55%	55%	55%	57%	58%
Admiral Byrd ES	438	57	59	56	52	52	61	337	77%	Enrollment	317	337	340	347	350	351	350	353	364	379	396
Admiral byrd E5	430	37	39	36	32	32	01	337	77/0	Utilization	72%	77%	78%	79%	80%	80%	80%	81%	83%	87%	90%
Clearmont ES	497	64	66	82	73	56	81	422	85%	Enrollment	406	422	406	410	399	381	380	377	379	382	383
Cicarmont E3	497	04	00	02		30	01	422	0.5 /0	Utilization	82%	85%	82%	82%	80%	77%	76%	76%	76%	77%	77%
																	1				
District Totals:	trict Totals: 5.653 636 621 620 575 590 605 3,647 65%	65%	Enrollment	3,619	3,647	3,625	3,609	3,625	3,600	3,586	3,541	3,536	3,526	3,524							
_ 1011101 1034101	,,,,,,	000						0,02.	30 70	Utilization	64%	64%	64%	64%	64%	64%	63%	63%	63%	62%	62%

2





2021-22 to 2031-32 Junior High Utilization Forecast

				2021-2	22 to 2031-	32 Utilizat	ion Forec	ast					
Junior High	2021-22 Capacity		2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32
Eriondship	813	Enrollment	618	589	570	562	541	523	516	549	562	566	549
Friendship	613	Utilization	76%	72%	70%	69%	67%	64%	63%	68%	69%	70%	68%
Grove	930	Enrollment	883	828	832	837	840	835	836	841	825	818	820
		Utilization	95%	89%	89%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	89%	88%	88%
Holmes	692	Enrollment	452	436	402	407	371	385	390	394	369	349	329
Tionnes	072	Utilization	65%	63%	58%	59%	54%	56%	56%	57%	53%	50%	48%
District Totals:	2,435	Enrollment	1,953	1,853	1,804	1,806	1,752	1,743	1,742	1,784	1,756	1,733	1,698
District Totals.	2,433	Utilization	80%	76%	74 %	74 %	72%	72%	72 %	73%	72 %	71 %	70%

Utilization Color Key
Over 105%
96% to 105%
86% to 95%
76% to 85%
65% to 75%

3





2021-2022 Elementary School Enrollment and Utilization Statistics

			20)21-2	2 En	rollm	ent	and U	Jtilization			2021-22	Enrolled Live	e-Attend	
Elementary School	2021-22 Capacity	Grade Config.	KG	01	02	03	04	05	Current Enrollment	Current Utilization	Live-In*	Live and Attend-In	Live-Out and Attend- In	Out of District	Unmatched
Devonshire ES	467	K-5	41	52	51	57	65	68	334	72%	396	332	2		
Brentwood ES	492	K-5	72	75	69	73	68	72	429	87%	482	424	5		
Forest View ES	516	K-5	42	61	43	40	54	47	287	56%	336	283	2		2
Juliette Low ES	688	K-5	40	49	38	49	37	68	281	41%	288	261	20		
John Jay ES	423	K-5	48	56	50	45	46	51	296	70%	282	231	65		
Robert Frost ES	497	K-5	46	41	49	53	44	43	276	56%	329	272	4	1	
Ridge ES	422	K-5	47	44	39	41	41	38	250	59%	NA	NA	NA	2	
Rupley ES	540	K-5	48	53	50	56	54	59	320	59%	342	257	63	2	
Salt Creek ES	673	K-5	74	69	66	77	63	74	423	63%	470	378	45		
Admiral Byrd ES	438	K-5	45	55	51	50	60	56	317	72%	367	296	21		
Clearmont ES	497	K-5	59	82	74	59	82	50	406	82%	318	249	157	2	
Total	5,653		562	637	580	600	614	626	3,619	64%	3,610	2,983	384	7	2





Elementary School Current and DRAFT Option Enrollment and Utilization Statistics

		2021-22 En	rollment and	Utilization	·						
Elementary School	2021-22 Capacity	Current Enrollment	Current Utilization	Trend	Grade Config.	Estimated Enrollment	Estimated Utilization	Trend			
Devonshire ES	467	334	72%	•	K-2	371	79%	_			
Brentwood ES	492	429	87%	-	3-5	422	86%	_			
Forest View ES	516	287	56%		K-2	376	73%				
Juliette Low ES	688	281	41%	•	3-5	382	56%	-			
John Jay ES	423	296	70%	-	K-2	339	80%	_			
Robert Frost ES	497	276	56%		3-5	325	65%				
Ridge ES	422	250	59%		NA*						
Rupley ES	540	320	59%	•	K-2	383	71%	1000			
Salt Creek ES	673	423	63%	-	3-5	401	60%				
Admiral Byrd ES	438	317	72%	-	K-2	310	71%	_			
Clearmont ES	497	406	82%		3-5	310	62%	-			
Total	5,653	3,619	64%	-		3,619	69%	_			

5

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the standard percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.

Within 10%

Between 10-15%

Over/Under 15%



^{*}Ridge serves as an ELC in this model.



2021-2022 Elementary School Demographic Statistics

			2021-22	2 Race and E	thnicity			202	1-22 Lunch C	ode		ılti-Lingual ecial Ed
Elementary School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Devonshire ES	2%	16%	4%	30%	0%	45%	3%	51%	3%	46%	43%	10%
Brentwood ES	1%	24%	8%	34%	0%	30%	4%	50%	2%	47%	53%	9%
Forest View ES	1%	33%	10%	14%	0%	38%	4%	68%	1%	31%	33%	8%
Juliette Low ES	1%	9%	2%	53%	0%	33%	2%	42%	3%	55%	57%	18%
John Jay ES	1%	7%	6%	69%	0%	11%	6%	34%	2%	64%	63%	11%
Robert Frost ES	0%	8%	7%	46%	0%	35%	4%	43%	1%	56%	56%	12%
Ridge ES	0%	24%	2%	14%	0%	57%	3%	71%	1%	28%	28%	9%
Rupley ES	7%	7%	2%	55%	0%	27%	3%	36%	5%	59%	66%	31%
Salt Creek ES	2%	2%	1%	52%	0%	40%	3%	44%	2%	54%	52%	13%
Admiral Byrd ES	2%	2%	2%	71%	0%	22%	2%	36%	2%	62%	61%	11%
Clearmont ES	0%	2%	2%	14%	0%	78%	3%	61%	2%	37%	48%	9%
Total	2%	12%	4%	41%	0%	39%	3%	49%	2%	49%	51%	13%

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the average percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.

Within 10%
Between 10-15%
Over/Under 15%

			2021-22	2 Race and E	thnicity			202	1-22 Lunch C	ode	2021-22 Mt and Sp	ılti-Lingual ecial Ed
Elementary School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Devonshire ES	2%	16%	4%	30%	0%	45%	3%	51%	3%	46%	43%	10%
Brentwood ES	1%	24%	8%	34%	0%	30%	4%	50%	2%	47%	53%	9%
Forest View ES	1%	33%	10%	14%	0%	38%	4%	68%	1%	31%	33%	8%
Juliette Low ES	1%	9%	2%	53%	0%	33%	2%	42%	3%	55%	57%	18%
John Jay ES	1%	7%	6%	69%	0%	11%	6%	34%	2%	64%	63%	11%
Robert Frost ES	0%	8%	7%	46%	0%	35%	4%	43%	1%	56%	56%	12%
Ridge ES	0%	24%	2%	14%	0%	57%	3%	71%	1%	28%	28%	9%
Rupley ES	7%	7%	2%	55%	0%	27%	3%	36%	5%	59%	66%	31%
Salt Creek ES	2%	2%	1%	52%	0%	40%	3%	44%	2%	54%	52%	13%
Admiral Byrd ES	2%	2%	2%	71%	0%	22%	2%	36%	2%	62%	61%	11%
Clearmont ES	0%	2%	2%	14%	0%	78%	3%	61%	2%	37%	48%	9%
Total	2%	12%	4%	41%	0%	39%	3%	49%	2%	49%	51%	13%





Elementary School DRAFT Option Demographic Statistics

			DRA	.FT Option R	ace and Ethr	nicity			DRAFT	Option Lune	ch Code		ption M.L. S.E.
Elementary School	Grade Config.	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Devonshire ES	K-2	1%	16%	4%	33%	0%	41%	5%	49%	4%	47%	50%	13%
Brentwood ES	3-5	2%	18%	5%	33%	0%	40%	2%	55%	3%	42%	49%	13%
Forest View ES	K-2	2%	20%	5%	37%	0%	33%	4%	47%	1%	51%	56%	14%
Juliette Low ES	3-5	1%	18%	5%	42%	0%	32%	2%	52%	2%	46%	47%	10%
John Jay ES	K-2	1%	14%	7%	45%	0%	28%	5%	44%	1%	56%	61%	14%
Robert Frost ES	3-5	1%	13%	7%	49%	0%	26%	3%	39%	2%	59%	60%	13%
Ridge ELC	NA*												
Rupley ES	K-2	4%	3%	2%	38%	0%	50%	3%	49%	3%	48%	52%	12%
Salt Creek ES	3-5	3%	5%	2%	42%	0%	44%	2%	51%	3%	46%	44%	14%
Admiral Byrd ES	K-2	1%	3%	2%	48%	0%	45%	2%	50%	2%	49%	48%	12%
Clearmont ES	3-5	2%	4%	2%	45%	0%	45%	3%	49%	2%	49%	46%	10%
Total		2%	12%	4%	41%	0%	39%	3%	49%	2%	49%	51%	13%

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the average percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.

Within 10%

Between 10-15%

Over/Under 15%

^{*}Ridge serves as an ELC in this model.

			DRA	.FT Option R	ace and Ethr	nicity			DRAFT	Option Lune	ch Code		ption M.L. S.E.
Elementary School	Grade Config.	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Devonshire ES	K-2	1%	16%	4%	33%	0%	41%	5%	49%	4%	47%	50%	13%
Brentwood ES	3-5	2%	18%	5%	33%	0%	40%	2%	55%	3%	42%	49%	13%
Forest View ES	K-2	2%	20%	5%	37%	0%	33%	4%	47%	1%	51%	56%	14%
Juliette Low ES	3-5	1%	18%	5%	42%	0%	32%	2%	52%	2%	46%	47%	10%
John Jay ES	K-2	1%	14%	7%	45%	0%	28%	5%	44%	1%	56%	61%	14%
Robert Frost ES	3-5	1%	13%	7%	49%	0%	26%	3%	39%	2%	59%	60%	13%
Ridge ELC	NA*												
Rupley ES	K-2	4%	3%	2%	38%	0%	50%	3%	49%	3%	48%	52%	12%
Salt Creek ES	3-5	3%	5%	2%	42%	0%	44%	2%	51%	3%	46%	44%	14%
Admiral Byrd ES	K-2	1%	3%	2%	48%	0%	45%	2%	50%	2%	49%	48%	12%
Clearmont ES	3-5	2%	4%	2%	45%	0%	45%	3%	49%	2%	49%	46%	10%
Total		2%	12%	4%	41%	0%	39%	3%	49%	2%	49%	51%	13%

7

Cropper 4/5

^{*}Ridge serves as an ELC in this model.



2021-22 Junior High School Enrollment and Utilization Statistics

		2021-22 Enro Utiliz			2021-22	Enrolled Live	e-Attend	
Junior High School	2021-22 Capacity	Estimated Enrollment	Estimated Utilization	Live-In	Live and Attend-In	Live-Out and Attend- In	Out of District	Unmatched
Friendship JHS	813	618	76%	611	598	20	1	
Grove JHS	930	883	95%	873	859	24		
Holmes JHS	692	452	65%	466	450	2	2	
Total	2,435	1,953	80%	1,950	1,907	46	3	0

Junior High School DRAFT Option Enrollment and Utilization Statistics

		2021-22 En	rollment and	Utilization	DRAFT C	Option Enrolli Utilization	ment and
Junior High School	2021-22	Estimated	Estimated	Trend	Estimated	Estimated	Trend
Junior High School	Capacity	Enrollment	Utilization	Trend	Enrollment	Utilization	Trena
Friendship JHS	813	618	76%		738	91%	
Grove JHS	930	883	95%	•	814	88%	•
Holmes JHS 69		452	65%		401	58%	
Total	3,108	1,953	80%	>	1,953	80%	•

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the average percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.







2021-22 Junior High School Demographic Statistics

			2021-22	2 Race and E	thnicity			2021	1-22 Lunch C	ode	2021-22 Mu and Spo	Ü
Junior High School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid Reduced Free			Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Friendship JHS	2%	17%	5%	32%	0%	41%	2%	50%	4%	45%	30%	11%
Grove JHS	1%	4%	2%	52%	0%	40%	2%	47%	5%	48%	35%	9%
Holmes JHS	4%	13%	6%	46%	0%	30%	2%	44%	4%	53%	37%	9%
Total	2%	10%	4%	44%	0%	2%	47%	4%	48%	34%	10%	

			2021-22	2 Race and E	thnicity	202	1-22 Lunch C	2021-22 Multi-Lingual and Special Ed				
Junior High School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Friendship JHS	2%	17%	5%	32%	0%	41%	2%	50%	4%	45%	30%	11%
Grove JHS	1%	4%	2%	52%	0%	40%	2%	47%	5%	48%	35%	9%
Holmes JHS	4%	13%	6%	46%	0%	30%	2%	44%	4%	53%	37%	9%
Total	2%	10%	4%	44%	0%	38%	2%	47%	4%	48%	34%	10%

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the average percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.

Within 10%

Between 10-15%

Over/Under 15%





Junior High School DRAFT Option Demographic Statistics

		DRAFT Option Race and Ethnicity								DRAFT Option Lunch Code			
Junior High School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed	
Friendship JHS	2%	17%	5%	36%	0%	38%	2%	48%	5%	47%	33%	11%	
Grove JHS	1%	4%	2%	50%	0%	42%	2%	49%	5%	47%	31%	8%	
Holmes JHS	4%	11%	6%	49%	0%	28%	1%	43%	3%	53%	40%	10%	
Total	2%	10%	4%	44%	0%	2%	47%	4%	48%	34%	10%		

Junior High School DRAFT Option Demographic Statistics

			d Ethnicity		DRAFT	Option Lunc	DRAFT Option M.L. and S.E.					
Junior High School	American Indian or AK Native	Asian	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other PI	White	Multi- Racial	Paid	Reduced	Free	Multi- Lingual	Special Ed
Friendship JHS	2%	17%	5%	36%	0%	38%	2%	48%	5%	47%	33%	11%
Grove JHS	1%	4%	2%	50%	0%	42%	2%	49%	5%	47%	31%	8%
Holmes JHS	4%	11%	6%	49%	0%	28%	1%	43%	3%	53%	40%	10%
Total	2%	10%	4%	44%	0%	38%	2%	47%	4%	48%	34%	10%

Cells are color coded based on deviation from the average percentage in each field. Percentages are rounded.

Within 10%

Between 10-15%

Over/Under 15%





Elementary School Program Codes

The following section contains tables of each elementary school with counts of program codes by grade level. It is important to note that these are counts of program codes and not individual students, as each student may have more than one code assigned to their student ID.

Program Code Key

CCIP- Cross-Categorical Instructional Program: Recommending removal as it is a JH 6-8 grade program

ELS - Educational Life Skills: A special education program in which student learning is focused on teaching functional skills such as personal health and safety, communication, functional academics, recreation, and community participation.

One Way Dual Language Spanish: A language development program that supports Spanish speaking students to become bilingual, bi-cultural, and bi-literate. For example, students whose primary language is Spanish would learn in English and Spanish together in a one-way dual language program model.

Two Way Dual Language Spanish: A language development program that supports both Spanish speaking students and students with another home language to become bilingual, bi-cultural, and bi-literate. For example, a combination of primary Spanish-speaking and English-speaking students would learn together in both languages.

One Way Dual Language Polish: A language development program that supports students who are primarily Polish speaking to become bilingual, bi-cultural, and bi-literate.

LOP - Learning Opportunity Program: A currently discontinued special education program that supported students with significant academic needs

ESL - English as a Second Language: Students who are ESL qualify for English as a Second Language services. In CCSD59, students who qualify for ESL services, and are not in a dual language program, receive ESL instruction.

TDP - Talent Development Program: Our Talent Development Program (TDP) serves students in grades 3-5 who require enrichment services in the areas of reading and math.



2021-22 Elementary School Program Codes

		Admiral	Byrd ES								
Program	Grade										
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total				
ELS							0				
LOP							0				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	20	33	27	27	38	28	173				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish							0				
One Way Dual Language Polish							0				
ESL	1						1				
TDP	NA	NA	NA	6	15	15	36				
General Education	20	20	18	12	12	12	94				

		Brentw	ood ES									
Риодиат		Grade										
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total					
ELS							0					
LOP							0					
One Way Dual Language Spanish	19	16	15	14	16	16	96					
Two Way Dual Language Spanish							0					
One Way Dual Language Polish							0					
ESL	25	25	18	22	18	14	122					
TDP	NA	NA	NA	15	12	15	42					
General Education	28	34	35	24	23	25	169					

		Clearn	ont ES							
Duo cruo en	Grade									
Program	ŀ	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total			
ELS							0			
LOP							0			
One Way Dual Language Spanish							0			
Two Way Dual Language Spanish							0			
One Way Dual Language Polish	30	35	29	23	22	9	148			
ESL	Ţ	13	9	8	11	3	49			
TDP	NA	NA	NA	10	20	11	41			
General Education	24	33	33	21	32	29	172			

21 PPEI (3/2) Revised: 09/06/2022

2021-22 Elementary School Program Codes Continued

		Devons	hire ES								
Риодиат	Grade										
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total				
ELS							0				
LOP							0				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	5	7	7	8	18	11	56				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish							0				
One Way Dual Language Polish							0				
ESL	12	13	13	13	12	18	81				
TDP	NA	NA	NA	7	17	12	36				
General Education	23	31	31	28	20	26	159				

	Forest View ES										
Duo automa		Grade									
Program	I		1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total			
ELS								0			
LOP								0			
One Way Dual Language Spanish								0			
Two Way Dual Language Spanish								0			
One Way Dual Language Polish								0			
ESL	2	L	18	16	11	15	7	88			
TDP	NA	NA		NA	13	20	11	44			
General Education	2	L	43	25	16	20	29	154			

		John J	ay ES									
Duo automa	Grade											
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total					
ELS							0					
LOP							0					
One Way Dual Language Spanish	12	15	22	13	17	18	97					
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	22	20	19	16	15	19	111					
One Way Dual Language Polish							0					
ESL	3	7	5	7	8	3	33					
TDP	NA	NA	NA	10	10	13	33					
General Education	11	14	4	6	3	7	45					

ropper 4/5 13 Revised: 09/06/2022

2021-22 Elementary School Program Codes Continued

		Juliette	Low ES									
Риодиат	Grade											
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total					
ELS							0					
LOP		3		3	2	3	11					
One Way Dual Language Spanish	7	7	9	10	9	16	58					
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	19	18	16	17	9	18	97					
One Way Dual Language Polish							0					
ESL	6	7	4	9	7	9	42					
TDP	NA	NA	NA	11	5	12	28					
General Education	8	15	9	9	9	19	69					

			Ridg	e ES							
Риодиат		Grade									
Program	1	<	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total			
ELS								0			
LOP								0			
One Way Dual Language Spanish								0			
Two Way Dual Language Spanish								0			
One Way Dual Language Polish								0			
ESL	1	5	11	11	15	7	8	68			
TDP	NA	NA	1	NA	7	10	15	32			
General Education	30)	33	28	20	25	18	154			

		Robert I	Frost ES										
Duo automa		Grade											
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total						
ELS							0						
LOP							0						
One Way Dual Language Spanish	9	14	20	25	16	16	100						
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	1					1	2						
One Way Dual Language Polish							0						
ESL	15	8	10	7	10	8	58						
TDP	NA	NA	NA	9	13	10	32						
General Education	21	19	19	12	9	10	90						

2021-22 Elementary School Program Codes Continued

		Ruple	ey ES									
Program		Grade										
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total					
ELS	11	10	13	10	9	11	64					
LOP							0					
One Way Dual Language Spanish	21	22	20	20	23	17	123					
Two Way Dual Language Spanish		1					1					
One Way Dual Language Polish							0					
ESL	19	13	12	14	11	16	85					
TDP	NA	NA	NA	5	11	6	22					
General Education	3	16	12	17	11	20	79					

		Salt Cr	eek ES									
Риодиат		Grade										
Program	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5 Total					
ELS							0					
LOP							0					
One Way Dual Language Spanish	24	20	18	25	17	18	122					
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	24	21	24	20	22	22	133					
One Way Dual Language Polish							0					
ESL	6	6	6	7	4	10	39					
TDP	NA	NA	NA	14	17	13	44					
General Education	20	22	18	17	13	14	104					

15

Elementary School DRAFT Option Program Codes

	Admiral Byrd ES (K-2) / Clearmont ES (3-5)										
Dragram					Grade						
Program	K	1	2	K-2 Total	3	4	5	3-5 Total	K-5 Total		
ELS	1	1	2	4	1			1	5		
LOP				0				0	0		
One Way Dual Language Spanish	20	29	27	76	29	36	27	92	168		
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	5	4	6	15	3	7	2	12	27		
One Way Dual Language Polish	5	7	8	20	8	7	2	17	37		
ESL	8	15	13	36	7	10	4	21	57		
TDP	NA	NA	NA	NA	12	29	20	61	61		
General Education	44	54	49	147	29	42	39	110	257		

	Devonshire ES (K-2) / Brentwood (3-5)										
Рио сио по		Grade									
Program	K	1	2	K-2 Total	3	4	5	3-5 Total	K-5 Total		
ELS	2	2	3	7	4	3	5	12	19		
LOP		2		2		1	1	2	4		
One Way Dual Language Spanish	21	23	22	66	19	34	25	78	144		
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	4	4	5	13	5	2	4	11	24		
One Way Dual Language Polish	9	9	6	24	7	6	2	15	39		
ESL	27	31	28	86	34	30	37	101	187		
TDP	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	31	26	77	77		
General Education	47	64	62	173	53	40	52	145	318		

	Forest View (K-2) / Juliette Low ES (3-5)										
Рио сио по		Grade									
Program	K	1	2	K-2 Total	3	4	5	3-5 Total	K-5 Total		
ELS	4	2	3	9		1	1	2	11		
LOP				0		1		1	1		
One Way Dual Language Spanish	22	15	21	58	29	17	29	75	133		
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	28	21	21	70	20	15	24	59	129		
One Way Dual Language Polish	4	4	2	10	2	2	1	5	15		
ESL	37	32	26	95	19	25	20	64	159		
TDP	NA	NA	NA	NA	32	30	29	91	91		
General Education	35	66	38	139	29	31	56	116	255		

Elementary School DRAFT Option Program Codes (Continued)

	John Jay ES (K-2) / Robert Frost (3-5)										
Дио сио на					Grade						
Program	K	1	2	K-2 Total	3	4	5	3-5 Total	K-5 Total		
ELS	1	4	3	8	3	2	2	7	15		
LOP		1		1	2		1	3	4		
One Way Dual Language Spanish	21	28	37	86	39	31	31	101	187		
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	15	15	11	41	10	11	14	35	76		
One Way Dual Language Polish	3	4	4	11	2	2		4	15		
ESL	35	32	22	89	26	24	17	67	156		
TDP	NA	NA	NA	NA	24	23	27	74	74		
General Education	38	42	31	111	23	18	24	65	176		

	Rupley (K-2) / Salt Creek (3-5)									
Drogram					Grade					
Program	K	1	2	K-2 Total	3	4	5	3-5 Total	K-5 Total	
ELS	3	1	2	6	2	3	3	8	14	
LOP				0	1		1	2	2	
One Way Dual Language Spanish	33	39	31	103	26	36	28	90	193	
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	14	16	16	46	15	11	16	42	88	
One Way Dual Language Polish	9	11	9	29	4	5	4	13	42	
ESL	22	11	15	48	27	14	18	59	107	
TDP	NA	NA	NA	NA	19	37	31	87	87	
General Education	45	54	53	152	48	46	38	132	284	

17



2021-22 Junior High School Program Codes

Fr	iendship JH	IS						
Риодио т	Grade							
Program	6	7	8	6-8 Total				
CCIP	9	6	10	25				
ELS				0				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	35	28	37	100				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish				0				
ESL	42	26	32	100				
TDP	34			34				
General Education	82	143	143	368				

	Grove JHS							
Риодио т	Grade							
Program	6	7	8	6-8 Total				
CCIP				0				
ELS	3	8	9	20				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	76	63	101	240				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	17	15	18	50				
ESL	17	39	26	82				
TDP	32	·		32				
General Education	116	169	169	454				

]	Holmes JHS							
Duo cuo co	Grade							
Program	6	7	8	6-8 Total				
CCIP				0				
ELS				0				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	44	55	57	156				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish		1		1				
ESL	17	13	16	46				
TDP	22			22				
General Education	40	85	94	219				

_ropper 4/5 18 Revised: 09/06/2022

Junior High School DRAFT Option Program Codes

Fr	iendship JH	IS						
Риодиа т	Grade							
Program	6	7	8	6-8 Total				
CCIP	4	3	5	12				
ELS	2	7	4	13				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	51	45	59	155				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish			1	1				
ESL	46	32	38	116				
TDP	40			40				
General Education	84	158	167	409				

	Grove JHS							
Program	Grade							
Program	6	7	8	6-8 Total				
CCIP	4	3	3	10				
ELS	1		3	4				
One Way Dual Language Spanish	61	54	85	200				
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	16	13	14	43				
ESL	16	29	24	69				
TDP	32			32				
General Education	113	170	166	449				

Holmes JHS					
Program	Grade				
	6	7	8	6-8 Total	
CCIP	1		2	3	
ELS		1	2	3	
One Way Dual Language Spanish	43	47	51	141	
Two Way Dual Language Spanish	1	3	3	7	
ESL	14	17	12	43	
TDP	16			16	
General Education	41	69	73	183	

ropper 4/5 19 Revised: 09/06/2022



Background

Consolidated Community School District 59 is in the process of analyzing equity throughout the district. To provide additional information to the stakeholders to determine the adherence of various DRAFT Options to equity goals, a scoring matrix has been devised.

Each option was scored on the following categories:

- Utilization
- Race and Ethnicity
- Free and Reduced Lunch
- Multi-Lingual and Special Education
- Transportation

These are data points to complement existing tables and Committee and Planning Team deliberations and are simply meant to provide additional information. Scores are available for the existing attendance zones at CCSD59 as well as the existing DRAFT Options.

Utilization Score

- > Goal: describe the extent to which an option distributes students evenly across schools to balance utilization.
- > Each school receives a point value based on the difference between its utilization and the option utilization district average.
 - o The points were given as follows: 0-5% difference=0; 6-10% difference=1; 11-15% difference=2; 16-20% difference=3; 21-25% difference=4, 26-30% difference=5, and over 30% difference=6.
 - o For example, if School A has a utilization of 80% and the average for the option is 70%, that school will receive 1 point.
- To assign a score for the option, all points are added together to get a sum for the option.
 - o For example, if Schools A through E have 1, 0, 0, 3, 2 points respectively, the score for the option would equal 6.

Demographic Diversity Scores

- Goal: identify the extent to which schools represent the demographic composition of the district as a whole.
- Each school receives a point value based on the difference between its demographic distribution percentage of students and the average demographic distribution percentage of students across the district.
 - o The points were given as follows: 0-5% difference=0; 6-10% difference=1; 11-15% difference=2; 16-20% difference=3; 21-25% difference=4, 26-30% difference=5, and over 30% difference=6.
 - o For example, if School A has 30% Hispanic students and CCSD59 has a district average of 41% Hispanic students, then School A will receive 2 points.
- > Each demographic category is assigned a separate score. The categories are: Race and Ethnicity, Free and Reduced Lunch, and Multi-Lingual and Special Education.
- To assign a score for each option, all points are added together to get a sum for each category.

Transportation Scores

- > Travel distances for each student to each school were calculated using GIS software, road data from Cook County, and the geocode of students based on the student database provided by CCSD59.
- > The average travel distance for each school was then calculated, as shown in the tables below, and then the average for the current zones and the DRAFT Option zones was calculated.
- Travel distances for each school were then compared to the district average for the current zones and the DRAFT Option.
 - O Points are given as follows: Less than district average = -1 point, 0 to .5 miles greater than district average = 0 points, more than .5 greater than district average = 1 point.
 - o Points were then added up for the DRAFT Option and the current zones.
 - o For example, if the average distance for Byrd is 3.3372 miles and the district average is 1.5817 miles in the current zones, Byrd would receive 1 point.

Reference Keys

Percentage Difference from District Average							
Difference	0-5%	6-10%	11-15%	16-20%	21-25%	26-30%	Over 30%
Points Added	0	1	2	3	4	5	6





Mileage Difference vs District Average					
Difference	Less than District Average	05 Miles Greater than District Average	More than .5 Miles Greater than District Average		
Points Added	-1	0	1		

Transportation Tables

	K-5 Average Distance to School in Miles			
Elementary School	2021-22 Distance	DRAFT Option Grade Config.	DRAFT Option Distance	
Devonshire ES	0.7705	K-2	1.1520	
Brentwood ES	1.0401	3-5	1.2635	
Forest View ES	0.9592	K-2	1.6095	
Juliette Low ES	2.0704	3-5	2.0242	
John Jay ES	0.6870	K-2	0.9420	
Robert Frost ES	0.5218	3-5	0.7074	
Ridge ES	2.2285	NA*		
Rupley ES	1.9703	K-2	1.7241	
Salt Creek ES	2.1078	3-5	2.0709	
Admiral Byrd ES	3.3372	K-2	2.5647	
Clearmont ES	1.7066	3-5	1.9580	
Total Average	1.5817		1.6016	

	6-8 Average Distance to School in Miles		
Junior High School		DRAFT Option	
, 0	Distance	Distance	
Friendship JHS	1.3026	1.4443	
Grove JHS	2.0298	1.9198	
Holmes JHS	1.3421	1.5138	
Total Average	1.5582	1.6260	

Scoring Tables

	Elementary Schools		
Option Score Categories	2021-22	DRAFT Option	
Utilization Score	16	11	
Race and Ethnicity Score	71	22	
Lunch Code Score	33	6	
Multi-Lingual and Special Ed Score	18	5	
Transportation	-2	-3	
ES Option Score	136	41	

	Junior High Schools		
Option Score Categories	2021-22	DRAFT Option	
Utilization Score	4	7	
Race and Ethnicity Score	6	5	
Lunch Code Score	0	0	
Multi-Lingual and Special Ed Score	0	1	
Transportation	-2	-2	
JHS Option Score	8	11	



^{*}Ridge serves as an ELC in this model.







