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## WHO IS CCSD59 TODAY?



## 5,660 Sturdents

## 2,609 English Leariers

## Over 60 Languages Spoten

## Orers00 Newcomers

## ENGLISH LEARNER ENROLLMENT HISTORY



## 2024-25 PROJECTED PROGRAM ENROLLMENT

|  | Northside (6 Elementary Schools) |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024-25 Roll-up | Dual Language <br> $($ S $)$ | Monolingual |
| Kindergarten | 100 | 238 |
| 1st Grade | 100 | 238 |
| 2nd Grade | 100 | 220 |
| 3rd Grade | 107 | 231 |
| 4th Grade | 106 | 251 |
| 5th Grade | 104 | 232 |
| Totals | 617 | 1410 |
| Number of Sections | 37 | 58 |
|  |  |  |


| Southside (4 Elementary Schools) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual Language <br> $(\mathrm{S})$ | Dual Language <br> $(\mathrm{P})$ | Monolingual |
| 101 | 29 | 88 |
| 101 | 29 | 88 |
| 88 | 38 | 83 |
| 95 | 30 | 96 |
| 96 | 34 | 125 |
| 93 | 33 | 104 |
| 574 | 12 | 584 |
| 32 |  | 34 |

## HOW DO WE MEET OUR STUDENTS' NEEDS?

- MLP Program Options:
- English as a Second Language (ESL)
- Dual Language (DL)

■ one-way Polish

- one-way Spanish
- Blended (two-way) Spanish


## ENGLISH LEARNER PROFILES IN CCSD59

## Simultaneous Bilingual Learners:

Students who are exposed to more than one language from birth or who experience another language prior to age 3. These students are developing the components of language acquisition and academics in two languages at the same time, as opposed to sequential bilingualism which is having one "native language" and learning another.

## Newcomers:

Students new to the country and who qualify for language services. This status applies for 3 years.

## ILLINOIS ACCEPTABLE SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

## English as a Second Language (ESL):

Specialized instruction designed to assist students whose home language is other than English in attaining English language proficiency (listening, speaking, reading, writing)

## Dual Language Programming:

Instruction in both the target language and the English language with the goal for students to become bilingual, biliterate, achieve grade level academic achievement and develop socio-cultural competence.

## Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE):

Instruction in English language acquisition using supports from the home language for a temporary time period. Required when there are 20 or more ELs from the same language background in school.

## WHY DUAL LANGUAGE?

## VISION

## District 59 Dual Language Program Vision:

Students in the Dual Language Program will achieve the three pillars of dual language: bilingualism and biliteracy, high academic achievement in two languages, and social-cultural competency.

## Core Values:

The District 59 Dual Language Program commits to the following core values:

- We value the cultural diversity that students, staff, and families bring to the school community.
- We value empowering families to work alongside educators as partners.
- We value culturally responsive curricula that validates and affirms all backgrounds
- We value high quality instruction that is authentic to the language and includes assessment and resources that supports the pillars of dual language.


## PILLARS OF DUAL LANGUAGE



- DL is the strongest model to meet the needs of ELs
- DL is an additive program
- 2-way or Blended DL offers the opportunity for others to participate

English-only and transitional bilingual programs of short duration only close about half of the achievement gap between English learners and native English speakers, while high quality long-term bilingual programs close all of the gap after 5-6 years of schooling through two languages (LI and L2).

## HOW DO WE MEASURE SUCCESS?

If we value students' biliteracy, then we should consider assessments that are equitable for our DL students which:

- Give us measurable information about student progress across both languages
- Reflect the linguistic resources of each student
- Are sensitive to the development of two languages simultaneously, and over time
- Allow us to compare students whole linguistic repertoire side by side

What should our data look like when students are learning two languages simultaneously?

## WHAT DO WE NEED TO BE SUCCESSFUL?

## EQUITY OF RESOURCES

- Staff
- Compliance 90\%
- Certified interventionists K-5
- Literacy
- Math
- Curriculum
- Math - Illustrative Math
- Literacy - Benchmark

- iReady Reading

■ English "My Path" - Spanish - linear

- Diagnostic, adaptive / not adaptive
- Reports - English vs Spanish


## COMPLIANCE



## COMPLIANCE

| Brentwood |  |  |  | Frost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | MONO | Kristy LaCost | 21 | K | MONO | Catherine Glombicki | 14 |
|  | MONO | Jessica Sweeney | 21 |  | MONO | Danuta Les | 14 |
|  | MONO | Victoria Mozack | 20 |  | DUAL | Laura Zarate | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Matilde Mangialardi | 12 |
| 1st | MONO | Emily Jordan | 20 | 1st | MONO | Colleen Briggs | 16 |
|  | MONO | Lisa Greenberg | 19 |  | MONO | OPEN | 16 |
|  | MONO | Ivana Bousheh | 19 |  | dUAL | Connie Rosero | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Christian Morales | 15 |
| 2nd | MONO | Agnieszka Gorski | 21 | 2nd | MONO | Pamela Schakowsky | 20 |
|  | MONO | Lindsay Siegel | 21 |  | MONO | Amy Derken | 19 |
|  | MONO | Jennifer Swarbrick | 20 |  | DUAL | Sofia Gonzalez | 15 |
|  | DUAL | Michele Langford | 17 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd | MONO | Elizabeth Whiteside | 18 | 3rd | MONO | Josephine Anyfiotis | 16 |
|  | MONO | Janine Stein | 18 |  | MONO | Elizabeth Loverde | 17 |
|  | MONO | Anna Treutler | 19 |  | DUAL | Sarah Cruce | 11 |
|  | DUAL | Estefania Proaño | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4th | MONO | Jessika Homann | 21 | 4th | MONO | Laura Meehan | 17 |
|  | MONO | Jessica Goczkowski | 21 |  | MONO | Olivia Middleton | 16 |
|  | MONO | Joanne Wasiak-Lisy | 20 |  | DUAL | Ivette Medina | 21 |
|  | DUAL | Chris Vicente | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5th | MONO | Rhae Bell | 21 | 5th | MONO | Jennifer Ohlson | 17 |
|  | MONO | Lisa Lang | 21 |  | MONO | Alexandra Zajac | 16 |
|  | MONO | Jen Ramamoorthi | 22 |  | DUAL | Rosa Cappetta | 15 |
|  | DUAL | Shirley Morales | 8 |  | DUAL | Maria Soto | 16 |

## COMPLIANCE

| Rupley |  |  |  | Salt Creek |  |  |  | BYRD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | MONO | Lewton, Stephanie | 12 | K | MONO | Rebecca Urban | 21 | K | MONO | Michelle Castle | 25 |
|  | DUAL | Mauricio Gonzalez | 12 |  | DUAL | Brigitte Torres | 23 |  | DUAL | Amy DeLuca | 16 |
|  | DUAL | Madeline Ortiz | 12 |  | DUAL | Rocio Bolanos | 22 |  | DUAL | Juanita Cortes | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st | MONO | Carlson, Catherine | 19 | 1st | MONO | Kara Safina | 13 | 1st | MONO | Sara Magnafichi | 22 |
|  | DUAL | Lombard, Sandra | 20 |  | DUAL | Brenda Lima | 24 |  | DUAL | Lluvia Cruz | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Karen Styzinski | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd | MONO | Sarah Levin | 16 | 2nd | MONO | Andrea Lenahan | 13 | 2nd | MONO | Ariana Thomas | 22 |
|  | DUAL | Ontaneda, Allie | 22 |  | MONO | Carly Longobardi | 12 |  | DUAL | Alicia Herrera | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Cynthia Mendivil | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Estefania Cervantes | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Lisa Berlingeri-Zimmer | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd | MONO | Perez, Stephanie | 21 |  |  |  |  | 3rd | MONO | Cynthia Darga | 24 |
|  | DUAL | Porroa, Vanesa | 22 | 3rd | MONO | Kalliroe Petrides | 16 |  | DUAL | Jessica Lopez | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MONO | Sarah Madden | 17 |  | DUAL | Rocio Alvarado | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Jacqueline Huerta | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Katherine Sardena | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 4th | MONO | Margaret Hulligan | 14 |  |  |  |  | 4th | MONO | Elizabeth Greenberg | 25 |
|  | DUAL | Phil Lewis | 22 | 4th | MONO | Debra Brand | 15 |  | DUAL | Elizabeth Nuñez | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MONO | Nicole Hain | 12 |  | DUAL | Lucia Gutierrez | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Norma Brambila | 22 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Vanessa Garay Meza | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 5th | MONO | Margaret Figura | 13 |  |  |  |  | 5th | MONO | Carolyn Duffy | 25 |
|  | MONO | Carey Towne | 13 | 5th | MONO | Bianca Murad | 19 |  | DUAL | Eliana Esparza | 14 |
|  | DUAL | Arzeta, Diana | 21 |  | MONO | Samantha Cecchin | 20 |  | DUAL | Paula Czajkowski | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Raquel Caneva | 22 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | DUAL | Marilin Marzan | 15 |  |  |  |  |
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## IMPACT ON INSTRUCTIONAL GROWTH (IREADY)

| Status $\hat{\vee}$ | Overall Spanish Placement $\widehat{\sim}$ | Phonological Awareness | $\hat{v}$ | Phonics $\widehat{\imath}$ | Comprehension: Literature | $\hat{\imath}$ | Comprehension: <br> Informational <br> Text | $\wedge$ | Vocabulary $\widehat{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Completed 12/20/23 | - Partially Met | 57\% |  | 57\% | 50\% |  | 57\% |  | 50\% |

Assessment
of Spanish
Reading (ASR)

Typical Growth
Typical Growth: The average annual growth for a student at this grade and placement level on their baseline Diagnostic.

Stretch Growth ${ }^{\circledR}$
Stretch Growth: An ambitious, but attainable, level of annual growth that puts students who are below grade level on a path toward proficiency.


Assessment of Reading (English)

## IMPACT ON INSTRUCTIONAL GROWTH (iREADY)

|  |  | \% Correct By Domain (i) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status $\hat{\sim}$ | Overall Spanish Placement $\hat{\wedge}$ | Phonological Awareness | Phonics $\hat{\vee}$ | Comprehension: Literature | Comprehension: <br> Informational <br> Text | $\hat{\imath}$ | Vocabulary $\hat{v}$ |
| Completed 09/05/23 | - Not Met | 29\% | 29\% | 38\% | 43\% |  | 67\% |
| Completed 12/20/23 | - Partially Met | 57\% | 57\% | 50\% | 57\% |  | 50\% |

Assessment of Spanish Reading (ASR)

## Reading -

Year-to-Date Growth Learn More About Growth
Progress to Annual Typical Growth
Scale Points: 49/54


This student has made $97 \%$ progress toward Annual Typical Growth.
Typical Growth is the average annual growth for a student at this grad
and placement level on their baseline Diagnostic

Progress to Annual Stretch Growth®
Scale Points: 49/96


This student has made $51 \%$ progress toward Stretch Growth. Fer students who are below grade level on their baseline Diagnostic,
Stretch Growth is an ambitious, but attainable, level of annual growth that puts them on a path toward proficiency.


| Domain | Diagrostic 1 | Diaghostic 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Reading $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |
| Phonological Awareness* $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |
| Phonics* | - Grade K | - Grade K |
| High-Frequency Words* $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |
| Vocabulary $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |
| Comprehension: Literature $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |
| Comprehension: Informational Text $\uparrow$ | - Emerging K | - Grade K |

Assessment of Reading (English)

## HIGHLY QUALIFIED STAFF

- Teachers being shifted around every year to different grade levels makes it hard for teachers to feel confident about the curriculum that they are teaching
- Impacts planning and instruction
- Impacts student learning
- Minimizes opportunities for DL teachers to move into new positions
- Job satisfaction/teacher retention/teacher burnout
- Limited how we can move around DL teachers throughout our schools based on need.
- Teacher grade level collaboration
- DL workload makes it easier when teachers are working and planning together.
- Inconsistencies impacts credibility of the dual language program.
- Ultimately, high quality teachers lead to student success.


## COLLABORATION \& PROFESSIONAL LEARNING

## Class Sectioning:

Re-assigning teachers to fill classes for added sections

## Singletons:

Absence of a grade level counterpart from the same programming

## Professional Learning Communities:

Challenges with collaborating on our Tier 1 literacy, science, and social science curricular resources

## EQUITABLE ACCESS TO PROGRAMS



## COMMITMENTS: WHAT DO WE NEED TO BE SUCCESSFUL?

- Equity of Resources
- Highly Qualified Staff
- Collaboration and Professional Learning
- Equitable Access to Programs


## DO THE BEST YOU CAN UNTIL YOU KNOW BETTER.

## THEN WHEN YOU KNOW BETTER, DO BETTER.

## NEXT STEPS

- Commit to the philosophy of Dual Language as a district.
- Develop a shared plan for how to achieve an effective program that meets the standards of Dual Language.
- Recommend and adopt a long-term solution that:
- provides opportunities for collaboration, stability, and professional learning for our highly qualified staff;
- prioritizes the needs of our students;
- defines clear measures for success;
- and meets the same high standards as our monolingual programs.


## DISCUSSION

## Appendix: Additional Information \& Data

## ENGLISH LEARNER ENROLLMENT HISTORY

| CCSD59 English Learner Enrollment \% History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School / Year | 1998.99 | 2002.03 | 2007.08 | 2012.13 | 2017.18 | 2022.23 | $\begin{gathered} +/-25 \mathrm{Ye} \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Change \% |
| ILLINOIS | 6.4\% | 6.3\% | 7.5\% | 9.5\% | 11.7\% | 14.6\% | +8.2 | 128\% |
| CCSD59 | 19.0\% | 22.4\% | 26.6\% | 31.6\% | 39.4\% | 46.1\% | +27.1 | 143\% |
| EARLY LEARNING CENTER | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 62.60\% | n/a | n/a |
| BRENTWOOD | 24.4\% | 33.1\% | 29.5\% | 31.6\% | 43.5\% | 52.7\% | +28.3 | 116\% |
| BYRD | 22.2\% | 33.5\% | 40.9\% | 46.6\% | 52.4\% | 62.0\% | +39.8 | 179\% |
| CLEARMONT | 7.7\% | 10.5\% | 19.7\% | 24.7\% | 41.1\% | 46.6\% | +38.9 | 505\% |
| DEVONSHIRE | 22.6\% | 17.8\% | 17.5\% | 27.7\% | 44.5\% | 36.3\% | +13.7 | 61\% |
| FOREST VIEW | 26.2\% | 22.1\% | 26.4\% | 33.3\% | 39.6\% | 29.6\% | +3.4 | 13\% |
| FROST | 32.8\% | 47.2\% | 58.5\% | 49.0\% | 50.3\% | 60.5\% | +27.7 | 84\% |
| JOHN JAY | 55.6\% | 62.9\% | 57.3\% | 59.7\% | 65.7\% | 63.0\% | +7.4 | 13\% |
| JULIETTE LOW | 22.3\% | 40.9\% | 39.6\% | 44.0\% | 56.7\% | 52.3\% | +30. | 135\% |
| RIDGE | n/a | 9.2\% | 23.2\% | 23.7\% | 37.1\% | 26.7\% | +17.5* | *190\% |
| RUPLEY | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 51.0\% | 60.0\% | 66.6\% | +50.4^ | ^311\% |
| SALT CREEK | 18.7\% | 35.4\% | 45.8\% | 43.0\% | 52.4\% | 49.6\% | +30.9 | 165\% |
| FRIENDSHIP | 16.7\% | 13.2\% | 11.1\% | 13.1\% | 11.9\% | 35.0\% | +18.3 | 110\% |
| GROVE | 5.9\% | 7.3\% | 9.50\% | 11.8\% | 16.1\% | 32.4\% | +26.5 | 449\% |
| HOLMES | 19.1\% | 14.9\% | 7.7\% | 17.6\% | 37.3\% | 41.2\% | +22.1 | 116\% |


| CCSD59 English Learner Program <br> Enrollment Information | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 . 2 3}$ <br> Count | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 . 2 3}$ <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Services | 109 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Dual Language 1-Way Spanish | 619 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Dual Language 2-Way Spanish | 654 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Dual Language Polish | 142 | $5.7 \%$ |
| ESL | 948 | $38.3 \%$ |
| District Total | $\mathbf{2 4 7 2}$ | - |

All Data is from IL School Report Card Database / *Based on $2022.03 \mathrm{EL} \%$ of $9.2 \% /^{\wedge}$ Based on $2004.05 \mathrm{EL} \%$ of $3.7 \%$
Early Childhood Data included in Schools that housed EC prior to the ELC opening in 2015.16 / 2017.18 ELC data included with HJH

## dUAL LANGUAGE CLASS SIZE AVERAGE \& RANGE

| Elementary School | Class Size Average | Class Range | Class Range DIFF |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brentwood | 13.8 | $8-16$ | 8 |
| Byrd | 16.6 | $14-24$ | 10 |
| Frost | 14.7 | $12-24$ | 12 |
| John Jay | 21.8 | $16-29$ | 13 |
| Juliette Low | 16.9 | $12-24$ | 12 |
| Rupley | 18.7 | $11-23$ | 12 |
| Salt Creek | 20.1 | $15-25$ | 10 |
| Clearmont | 15.8 | $13-19$ | 6 |

## STAFFING ALLOCATION IMPACT WITH THE STATUS QUO

- 2023-24 DL Classroom Teachers needed = 63
- 2024-25 DL Classroom Teachers needed $=65$


## Examples

- Salt Creek will gain an additional section of 3rd Grade and lose a section of 5th Grade resulting in the involuntary transfer of a DL teacher
- Rupley will gain an additional section of 1st Grade resulting in the need to hire an additional DL teacher or reallocate a DL teacher from the resource team

| SALT CREEK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023-24 |  | 2024-25 |  |
| K | 14 | K | 14 |
| K | 14 | K | 14 |
| 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 |
| 2 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| 2 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| 3 | 24 | 3 | 14 |
| 4 | 20 | 3 | 14 |
| 5 | 13 | 4 | 24 |
| 5 | 13 | 5 | 20 |


| RUPLEY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2023-24$ |  | $2024-25$ |  |  |
| K | 12 | K | 12 |  |
| K | 12 |  | K | 12 |
| 1 | 20 | 1 | 12 |  |
| 2 | 22 | 1 | 12 |  |
| 3 | 22 | 2 | 20 |  |
| 4 | 22 | 3 | 22 |  |
| 5 | 21 | 4 | 22 |  |

## State Requirements

Districts are required to provide services to students who quality as EL. The State of Illinois sets forth guidelines on the type and intensity of instruction based on the proficiency level of the students based on ACCESS. CCSD59 has established a "Spectrum of Services" plan that aligns to state requirements to ensure we are meeting the needs of language learners at all levels.

ACCESS is federally mandated assessment that assesses English language proficiency. All students are required to take the ACCESS test annually until they reach a composite score of 4.8

## Dual Language Decision Chart



## Dual Language Decision Chart (cont'd)

> Student scores at least 5.0 composite (Student DOES NOT qualify for language services)

Families can only participate in a two-way dual language program if completed the district dual language interest form and based on student enrollment

Student scores less than
5.0 composite. Families presented with options of for EL services
(Student DOES qualify for language services)


## CCSD59 Current Program Options for Students Who Qualify

| Type of Program | Program Details | School Sites 2023-24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual Language | - Strategic and sustained use of both English and a language other than English (i.e. Spanish or Polish) across the curriculum <br> - Minimum of $50 \%$ of the instructional time must be spent in the language other than English. <br> - Students interact socially and academically in English and another language with the goal of developing both social and academic proficiency in two languages. | Spanish Dual Language <br> - South Side - Byrd, Rupley, Salt Creek, <br> - North Side- John Jay, Juliette Low, Robert Frost <br> Polish Dual Language - Clearmont |
| ESL | - Supports English classroom instruction <br> - Provides students who are not English language proficient additional opportunities to develop their English skills. | All Schools |

## English Learner Programming History

| SCHOOL <br> YEAR | MULTILINGUAL PROGRAM ACTIONS |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1992-93$ | District-Wide Multilingual (Bilingual Spanish) 1/2 day program at Ridge closed with students attending <br> boundary or designated program school full time |
| 2000-01 | Site changes for Multilingual student: K-5 Frost ESL students return to Frost, K-5 Bilingual Spanish from <br> Byrd \& Clearmont attendance areas attend Byrd, K-5 Bilingual Spanish from Salt Creek \& Rupley attend <br> Salt Creek, Grove Cluster K-5 ESL to attend Clearmont |
| 2007-08 | Dual Language Spanish Oasis shared attendance area established between Salt Creek \& Rupley <br> (qualified students formerly attended Salt Creek only) |
| 2009-10 | 2-Way Dual Language Spanish Program Established @ Salt Creek |
| 2013-14 |  <br> Polish Bilingual Programs, starting at grades K-3 |
| 2014-15 | Return to "Home School" Plan Implemented (Dual Language \& ESL) for students to attend boundary <br> school (Except DL students from Forest View \& ESL from Byrd) |
| 2015-16 | Consolidation of Multilingual Dual Language Polish Program @ Clearmont |
| 2016-17 | 2-Way DL Spanish expanded to Juliette Low \& John Jay |
| 2022.23 | 1-way DL Spanish shifted from Devonshire (K-5) \& Brentwood (K) to Frost. 2-Way DL Spanish Programs <br> shifted to a "blended" model |
| 2023.24 | ESL Students return to Byrd \& Brentwood 1-Way DL Spanish K \& 1 attend Frost |
| Information outlined is based on research of school report cards, historical documents, Board minutes, and D59 communications <br> and may not fully reflect all multilingual programming changes that have occurred over time. |  |
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## Components of an Effective Dual Language Program Dual Language Audit

- Assessment and Accountability
- Curriculum
- Instruction
- Staff Quality and Professional Development
- Program Structure
- Family and Community
- Support and Resources

Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education Center for Applied Linguistics

## SY 22 Audit Recommendations

D59 Actions Taken from 2022-23

- Create a Dual Language Leadership Team
- Align curriculum with state standards for both Spanish and English
- Review and adopt authentic curricular resources
- Expand professional development for teachers and administrators around effective dual language instructional strategies
- Identify ways to consolidate dual language programming
- Creation of DL Leadership Team
- Vision/Action Plan
- Realigned state standards curriculum framework for both Spanish and English
- Adoption of authentic curriculum resources in both Spanish and English
- Added Spanish Proficiency Assessment (LASLinks 2nd and 5th grade)
- Review of alignment K-8 and beyond
- Screening and placement process
- Increased staffing
- Elimination of Multi-grade classrooms
- Resource staff to support dual teachers (coaches, interventionists)
- Consolidated some programming based on facility issues


## Continuing Challenges:

- Large and inconsistent class sizes
- Teacher and staff mobility
- Singletons/lack of collaboration opportunities
- Inconsistent support staff resources
- New curriculum implementation and ability to collaborate
- Enrollment fluctuations
- Newcomer enrollment
- Facility inconsistencies
- Program movement creating student mobility
- Ease of providing professional learning


## Equity Audit: District Management Group 2022

"Strengthening the one-way Spanish dual language program by rezoning attendance boundaries, placing sections more strategically around the district, or redesigning the program."
"The effort to equally distribute one-way Spanish dual-language programming to all schools has led to inequitable opportunities for students and staff."

## K-8 Multilingual Program: English Learner ACCESS Exit \& English Learner Progress to Proficiency (ELPtP) Rates

K-8 English Learner ACCESS Exit Rate
2021.22 \& 2022.23


Grades K-8 English Learner ELPtP Rates 2021.22 \& 2022.23

$\square 2021.22$ ■ 2022.23

## K-8 Grade Level: English Learner ACCESS Exit \& ELPtP Rates



## Grades K-5 KPI Reading




## Grades 3-5 IIlinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR) Reading



Grades 3-5 (DL Spanish) IAR Reading Proficiency 2021.22 \& 2022.23


## Grades K-5 KPI Math



## K-5 (DL Spanish) KPI Tier 1 Math

2021.22 \& 2022.23


## Grade 3-5 IAR Math

Grades 3-5 (All Students) IAR Math Proficiency 2021.22 \& 2022.23


Student Group \& Count Taking IAR
$\square 2021.22 \square 2022.23$

Grades 3-5 (DL Spanish) IAR Math Proficiency 2021.22 \& 2022.23


Dual Language Spanish \& Count Taking IAR
$\square 2021.22 \square 2022.23$

## Spanish Language Assessment Scale (LAS) Links




## Multilingual Program Outcomes Highlights

## Positives / Strengths

- DL Spanish (1W \& 2W) showed improved results in IAR \& KPI for both reading and math over the last 2 years, in most categories
- DL Polish IAR and KPI results outperform the district average
- A majority of EL students are meeting their progress to proficiency targets

Opportunities / Further Study

- Achievement gaps across programs \& student groups remain large
- Inconsistent Exit Rates across programs \& grade levels
- Denied Service student Exit Rate outpaces most EL groups
- ELPtP discrepancy between K-5 and 6-8 is quite large

